From: T Mac

To: Microsoft ATR

Date: 1/28/02 12:35pm

Subject: Microsoft Settlement

Dear Judge Kollar-Kotally,

I wanted to state my objection and the error existent in the Proposed Final Judgment. There are several apparent flaws with in the final proposal favoring Microsoft. Based on my assessment the proposed settlement does not dish out any due justice or punishment on the side of Microsoft. At the same time no devices are in place to ensure MS compliance to the stated rules enclosed in the settlement.

Although being closely monitored, Microsoft will not have any direct supervision to reassure the company complies with the stated agreement. A three-man compliance team overseeing Microsoft remain in alignment to the stated rules and regulations. This three-man oversight team will be composed of the following: one appointee from the Justice Department, one appointee from Microsoft, and another appointee chosen by the two existing members. In turn, Microsoft will control half of the oversight team. All findings by this committee will not be allowed into court. The sole purpose for such a committee is to inform the Justice Department of all infractions committed by Microsoft. Subsequently the Justice Depart will launch its own investigation into the matter and commence litigation to halt all infractions. When all is said and done, the oversight committee is just window dressing. In turn, who will not strictly oversee Microsofts business moves? In my opinion, the Proposed Final Judgment does not provide sufficient and appropriate restrictions or penalties against Microsoft. What reassurance do we have against Microsofts illegal and illicit activities? I can assure you that the Proposed Final Judgment does not effectively address the question. I object to the Proposed Final Judgment.

Respectfully,

Janice Ortiz 1001 Vine St. Paso Robles, CA 93446

Do You Yahoo!? Great stuff seeking new owners in Yahoo! Auctions! http://auctions.yahoo.com

CC: microsoftcomments@doj.ca.gov@inetgw